

A Generalized Machine Learning Framework for Linear Factor Model Test

Christopher Jones

University of Southern California

Jinchi Lv

University of Southern California

Kuntara Pukthuanthong

University of Missouri

Junbo Wang

Louisiana State University

Motivation– Data availability

- There are 400+ factors
- There are many testing assets
 - Portfolios, individual stocks
- **Big data time!**
- Classical models: PCA or Lasso

What we do in this paper

We introduce SOFAR (sparse orthogonal factor regression, by Uematsu et al(2019)) into the linear factor test.

Advantage of the SOFAR:

- It can be applied to big data.
- It can take advantage of previous machine learning methods (sparsity from variable selection, common factor from PCA).

Introduction to SOFAR

- Time-series return model:

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{FB} + \mathbf{E}$$

\mathbf{R} ($T \times N$) asset return (T : total periods, N number of assets)

\mathbf{F} ($T \times M$) factors (M number of factors)

\mathbf{B} ($M \times N$) factor loading

\mathbf{E} ($T \times N$) error term

- Classical method: estimation seemingly unrelated regressions.
- What if both N and M are large?

Introduction to SOFAR

- Singular value decomposition of \mathbf{B} matrix: $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}'$

$\mathbf{D} = \text{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_L)$: L by L diagonal matrix, with $L = \min(M, N)$

\mathbf{U} (M times L) and \mathbf{V} (N times L): orthonormal matrices (i.e. $\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$, with \mathbf{V}' or \mathbf{U}' the transpose of matrix \mathbf{V} or \mathbf{U})

- The model can be written as

$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{F}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{V}' + \mathbf{E}$$

Define $\mathbf{F}\mathbf{U}\mathbf{D} = \bar{\mathbf{F}}$ as latent factors, $\mathbf{R} = \bar{\mathbf{F}}\mathbf{V}' + \mathbf{E}$

- If \mathbf{D} has rank K , there are only K latent factors.
- If each of the $\bar{\mathbf{F}}$ only depends on a few of \mathbf{F} , \mathbf{U} is sparse.
- If each of the $\bar{\mathbf{F}}$ is only correlated with a few of \mathbf{R} , \mathbf{V} is sparse.

Introduction to SOFAR

- What should be the number K ?
- Are each of K factors depend on all M candidate factors?
- Are each of K factors correlated with all N assets?

SOFAR can resolve these issues together.

$$\left(\hat{\mathbf{D}}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\mathbf{V}} \right) = \underset{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\| \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{FUDV}' \|_F + \lambda_d \| \mathbf{D} \|_1 + \lambda_a \rho_a(\mathbf{UD}) + \lambda_b \rho_b(\mathbf{VD})) \right\}$$

subject to $\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}$.

$\|\cdot\|_F$ measures the distance

$\| \mathbf{D} \|_1 = \sum_{i,j} |D_{i,j}|$, penalty for \mathbf{D} matrix

ρ_a and ρ_b are penalty functions for \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} matrices.

- We can choose any combination of these penalties, making the selection very flexible.

Application: Reduced Rank Approach Extension

- Huang, Li and Zhou (2020)

The model can be written as $\mathbf{R} = \bar{\mathbf{F}}\mathbf{V}' + \mathbf{E}$ where $\mathbf{FUD} = \bar{\mathbf{F}}$.

The goal is to find U, D and V matrices.

- Applying SOFAR:

$$\left(\hat{\mathbf{D}}, \hat{\mathbf{U}}, \hat{\mathbf{V}}\right) = \underset{\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (\|\mathbf{R} - \mathbf{FUDV}'\|_F) \right\}.$$

Add penalties to select (1) latent factors, (2) candidate factors related with latent factors, and (3) assets correlated with latent factors

Data

- Portfolios: 202 portfolios Giglio and Xu (2020)
 - 25 portfolios sorted by size and book-to-market ratio
 - 17 industry portfolios
 - 25 portfolios sorted by operating probability and investment
 - 25 portfolios sorted by size and variance
 - 35 portfolios sorted by size and net issuance
 - 25 portfolios sorted by size and accruals
 - 25 portfolios sorted by size and beta
 - 25 portfolio sorted by size and momentum
- Candidate factors
 - Construct 219 candidate factors following Hou, Xue and Zhang (2019)

Application: RRA with selection of latent factors, candidate factors and correlated asset

	Factor 1	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4
Eigenvalues	15.94	2.47	0.56	0.59
Correlated Assets	202	162	135	125

	Factor 2	Factor 3	Factor 4
F.6.25 Liquidity betas (net)	-0.24	E.5.46 Alm, asset liquidity	-0.42
B.2.3 Quarterly book-to-market equity	-0.17	F.6.25 Liquidity betas (net)	-0.12
F.6.17. Lm121, Turnover-adjusted number of zero daily volume	-0.09	C.3.20 Changes in book equity	-0.09
F.6.25 Liquidity betas illiquidity-illiquidity	-0.01	D.4.18 Cash-based operating profitability	-0.02
E.5.46 Alm, asset liquidity.	0.00	E.5.51 Average returns Rn[2,5]	0.25
E. 5.51 Average returns Rn[2,5]	0.00	E.5.30 Financial constraints (the Kaplan-Zingales index)	0.36
E. 5.51 Average returns Ra[2,5]	0.01	E.5.4 R&D expense-to-market	0.39
E.5.30 Financial constraints (the Kaplan-Zingales index)	0.07	E.5.11 RCA, Capital-to-assets	0.42
F.6.14 Coefficient of variation of dollar trading volume.	0.07	E.5.10 Hiring rate	0.52
F.6.25 Liquidity betas (return-return)	0.27		
C.3.20 Changes in book equity	0.58		
C.3.12 Composite equity issuance	0.70		
			E.5.8 Operating leverage
			F.6.17 Lm11, Turnover-adjusted number of zero daily volume
			F.6.17. Lm121, Turnover-adjusted number of zero daily volume
			D.4.12 Operating profits to equity
			F.6.1 Market equity
			D.4.32 Book leverage
			E.5.4 R&D expense-to-market
			C.3.20 Changes in book equity
			F.6.8 Market beta
			E.5.51 Average returns Ra[6,11]
			D.4.5 Assets turnover
			E.5.30 Financial constraints (the Kaplan-Zingales index)
			E.5.46 Ala asset liquidity
			F.6.25 Liquidity betas (return-return)
			F.6.3 Idiosyncratic volatility
			F.6.11 Share turnover
			F.6.25 Liquidity betas (net)

Conclusions

- We introduce SOFAR
- We show that SOFAR can extend existing methods
- Applying SOFAR, we find
 - Four factors to represent the covariance structure

Thank you !