

Identifying structural shocks to volatility through a proxy-MGARCH model

Jeannine Polivka*, Matthias R. Fengler

Faculty of Mathematics and Statistics, University of St. Gallen

jeannine.polivka@unisg.ch

Note: You need a PDF reader with enabled JavaScript functionalities to view this document.

1. Motivation

- Multivariate volatility models (MVMs) are good at describing stylized facts of asset returns and widely used in modeling and forecasting second order moment dynamics.
- As a drawback, they do not provide an intelligible interpretation of the shock system driving asset returns – they are “reduced form models” as opposed to structural models as e.g. SVAR models.
- We identify the reduced form MGARCH model through a structural approach using proxy variables and Givens rotations.

2. Data

- We analyse the return system of S&P500, 10 year treasury note yield and Finex USD index from 1998 to 2014.
- Proxy variables are news indicators from Thompson Reuters: U.S. market and U.S. bond market sentiment.

5. Rotation & Structural Model Results

Every $\tilde{R} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ can be expressed as a composition of $\frac{n(n-1)}{2}$ “two-dimensional” rotations $R^{ij}(\theta_{ij})$.
Set

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{R} &= \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^n R^{ij}(\theta_{ij}) \quad (\theta_{ij} = \text{rotation angle}) \\ &= R^{12}(\theta_{12}) \cdots R^{1n}(\theta_{1n}) R^{23}(\theta_{23}) \cdots R^{n-1,n}(\theta_{n-1,n})\end{aligned}$$

Knowledge of the first column of \tilde{R} allows us to infer the first $(n-1)$ rotation angles and we are left with the identification of a $(n-1)$ -dimensional rotation using the remaining $(n-2)$ proxy variables. We can identify the full rotation recursively.

3. Structural Identification Problem in MVMs

- We consider a system of n speculative log returns $r_t = \mu_t + \varepsilon_t$, $t \in I := \{1, \dots, T\}$ where $\mu_t = E[r_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}]$ with \mathcal{F}_t the σ -algebra generated by the returns up to and including time t .
- The reduced form innovations follow an MGARCH model: $\varepsilon_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \sim (0, H_t)$. They do not bear an economic interpretation. Let ε_t be generated by

$$\varepsilon_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \sim Q_t \xi_t, \quad (1)$$

where $(\xi_t)_{t \in I}$ is an n -dimensional vector of structural shocks with $E[\xi_t] = 0$ and $E[\xi_t \xi_t^\top] = I_n$.

- Q_t denotes the unknown structural matrix decomposition of H_t which satisfies $Q_t Q_t^\top = H_t$.
- Given the principal matrix square root as initial decomposition \tilde{Q}_t , we identify the true structural matrix decomposition Q_t by identifying the unique rotation \tilde{R} such that $\tilde{Q}_t \tilde{R} = Q_t$. The structural model parameters are given by the rotation matrix.
- Our identification problem differs from the SVAR case with modeled heteroscedasticity as we account for complex dynamics in the conditional covariance process of ε_t . E.g. \tilde{Q}_t thus varies with H_t over time.

4. Identification by Proxy and Orthogonalization by Givens Rotations

- Identification similar to the proxy-SVAR approach of Mertens and Ravn (2013); Stock and Watson (2012). Assume there exists a centered $(n-1)$ -dimensional proxy variable process $Z = (Z_t)_{t \in I}$ such that, for all $i = 1, \dots, n-1$,

$$E[\xi_{it} Z_{it}] = \phi_i \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \quad (\text{relevance}) \quad (2)$$

$$E[\xi_{jt} Z_{it}] = 0 \quad (j \neq i) \quad (\text{exogeneity}) \quad (3)$$

- Then one can estimate the columns of the rotation matrix by

$$\tilde{R}_{\cdot i} = \pm E[u_t Z_{it}] (E[Z_{it} u_t^\top] E[u_t Z_{it}])^{-1/2} \quad (4)$$

where u_t denote the MGARCH residuals standardized with the principal matrix square root of H_t .

- To identify the full rotation matrix we exploit that n -dimensional rotations can be expressed as sequences of Givens rotations which guarantees orthogonality of the structural shocks.
- This is of particular importance, as it is a priori not clear which proxy variables deliver orthogonal shocks.

6. Volatility Spillover Analysis

- Volatility reception = proportional impact of the structural shocks (equity, bond & currency shock) on each asset (row-wise for S&P500, 10 yr note yield and USD index).
- Equity shock accounts for the largest share in S&P500 volatility, but the bond market shock shows notable contributions especially during calm market periods (see (1)).
- Return on the yield shows pronounced volatility reception from equity shock ((2)).
- Volatility transmission = proportional impact of one structural shock on all assets (columnwise for each structural shock).
- Long-lasting trend in volatility transmission between equity and fixed income markets (see (3) and (4)).

7. Conclusion

- Provide a fully identified asset return system.
- Inferred structural shocks can be narratively corroborated in detail, they are economically meaningful, interpretable shocks.
- The volatility spillover mechanism is asymmetric. Labelled structural shocks allow us to monitor spillover patterns and directions.
- Structural volatility models open new doors, e.g. to meaningful impulse response analyses.

References

- Fengler, M. R., & Polivka, J. (2021). Identifying structural shocks to volatility through a proxy-MGARCH model. *Economics Working Paper Series 2103*, University of St. Gallen. Retrieved from <https://ideas.repec.org/p/usg/econwp/202103.html>
- Mertens, K., & Ravn, M. O. (2013). The dynamic effects of personal and corporate income tax changes in the United States. *American Economic Review*, 103(4), 1212-1247.
- Stock, J. H., & Watson, M. (2012). Disentangling the channels of the 2007-09 recession. *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, 43(1), 81-156.