

# Inference of jumps using wavelet variance

Heng Chen (Bank of Canada)    Mototsugu Shintani (University  
of Tokyo)

The views expressed in this paper are those of the author. No responsibility for them should be attributed to the Bank of Canada.

# Objectives

Statistical inference of jumps in nonparametric regression models with long memory noise

- Propose **new** test statistic for the presence of jumps
- Propose **new** sequential applications of tests to estimate number of jumps and their locations

# Roadmap

- Model
- Motivation
- Test statistic based wavelet variance
- Testing for hypothesis of no jumps
- Estimating number of jumps and their locations
- Simulation Study + Daily Dow-Jones Industrial Average

# Model (1)

$$Y_i = f(i/n) + \varepsilon_i$$

- Under  $H_0$  :

$$f(x) \equiv f_C(x),$$

where  $f_C : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ ,  $f_C$  is continuously differentiable

- Under  $H_1$  :

$$f(x) \equiv f_C(x) + f_J(x),$$

where  $f_J(x) \equiv \sum_{l=1}^{m_0} d_l I\{x \geq \lambda_l\}$   $m_0$  is the finite number of jumps,  $\lambda_l$ 's are jump locations, and  $d_l$ 's are jump sizes

# Model (2)

Recall

$$Y_i = f(i/n) + \varepsilon_i$$

- Autocorrelation of  $\varepsilon_i$  satisfies

$$\text{corr}(\varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_{i'}) \asymp |i - i'|^{-2+2H}, \quad i - i' \rightarrow \infty,$$

for  $H \in [0.5, 1)$

- $H$  represents Hurst parameter:
  - When  $H = 0.5$ ,  $\varepsilon_i$  is an independent Gaussian error
  - When  $H \in (0.5, 1)$ ,  $\varepsilon_i$  has long-range dependence (or long memory)

# Motivation

- Model is very general to allow for nonparametric regression models with long memory noise
- Existing approaches from Wang (1995, 1999) based on the sup-type test statistic
- However, under  $H_0$ , Wang's sup-type test statistic converges very slowly to an extreme-value distribution
- **Solution:** our test statistic is based on robust estimator of the variance of the wavelet coefficients, and converges faster to normal distribution
- **Advantage** in finite sample:
  - Better size control for testing  $H_0$
  - Better precision of estimating  $m_0$  (number of jumps)

# Wavelet Variance Estimation (1)

- Discrete wavelet transformation of  $\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{A}}$  at scale  $j \in Z$  and location  $k \in Z$ :

$$\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{A}} \equiv \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi_{j,k} \left( \frac{i}{n} \right) A_i,$$

where

$$\psi_{j,k} \left( \frac{i}{n} \right) \equiv 2^{j/2} \psi \left( k - 2^j \frac{i}{n} \right),$$

and  $\psi(t)$  is a wavelet function, that is,  $\int \psi(t) dt = 0$

- Then

$$\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{f}} + \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{B}^H}$$

- Property of  $\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{f}}$  and  $\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{B}^H}$ :

- $\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{f}}$  is spatially adaptive to pointwise smoothness of  $f(x)$
- $\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{B}^H}$  decorrelates the long-memory noise  $\varepsilon_i$

# Wavelet Variance Estimation (2)

Recall

$$\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{Y}} = \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{f}} + \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{B}^H}$$

- Under  $H_0$  : for all  $k$ ,

$$\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{Y}} \approx \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{B}^H},$$

where  $\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{B}^H}$  is Gaussian

- Under  $H_1$  : for locations  $k$  near jumps,

$$\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{Y}} \approx \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{f}} \approx \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{f}^J};$$

otherwise,

$$\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{Y}} \approx \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{B}^H},$$

where  $\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{B}^H}$  is Gaussian

- **Remark:** Wang (1995, 1999) proposed the test statistic

$\sup_{k \in \mathbf{K}} \left| \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{Y}} \right|$  where  $\mathbf{K} \equiv \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$  for the jump detection



# Wavelet Variance Estimation (3)

- Unlike Wang's approach, our test statistic is based on the second moment of wavelet coefficients
- We utilize the wavelet variance which measures the variability of wavelet coefficients
- Define wavelet variance at a given scale  $j$  by

$$\sigma_j^2 \equiv \text{Var} \left( \mathbb{W}_{j,1}^{\mathbf{B}^H} \right)$$

- Two different estimators of wavelet variance  $\sigma_j^2$  :
  - Non-robust to  $\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{f}_j}$  :

$$\hat{\sigma}_{j,\mathbf{K}}^2 \equiv \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathbf{K}} (\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{Y}})^2}{2^j};$$

- Robust to  $\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{f}_j}$  :

$$\tilde{\sigma}_{j,\mathbf{K}}^2 \equiv \left[ \text{med}_{k \in \mathbf{K}} \left| \frac{\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{Y}}}{0.6745} \right| \right]^2.$$

# Test Statistic for Hypothesis of No Jumps

Intuition:  $\tilde{\sigma}_{j,\mathbf{K}}^2$  is a robust estimator of  $\sigma_j^2$  regardless of the presence of jumps, and that  $\hat{\sigma}_{j,\mathbf{K}}^2$  is not robust to the presence of outliers

- (Infeasible) test statistic:

$$D_{j,\mathbf{K}} \equiv \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{j,\mathbf{K}}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{j,\mathbf{K}}^2}{\sqrt{\omega}},$$

$$\text{where } \omega \equiv \text{Var} \left( \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2j} (\mathbf{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{B}_H})^2}{2j} - \left[ \text{med}_{k \in \{1, \dots, 2j\}} \left| \frac{\mathbf{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{B}_H}}{0.6745} \right| \right]^2 \right)$$

(not depend on the presence of jumps)

- Under  $H_0$  :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr [ |D_{j,\mathbf{K}}| \geq C_\gamma ] = \gamma;$$

- Under  $H_1$  :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr [ |D_{j,\mathbf{K}}| \geq C_\gamma ] = 1,$$

where  $C_\gamma = \Phi^{-1} \left( 1 - \frac{\gamma}{2} \right)$

# Estimating Number of Jumps and Locations (1)

Sequential procedure based on  $D_{j,\mathbf{K}}$  :

**Step 1** Conduct a test for  $H_0 : m_0 = 0$  (no jump) against  $H_1 : m_0 > 0$  (at least one jump). Reject  $H_0$  if

$$|D_{j,\mathbf{K}}| > C_\gamma,$$

where  $\mathbf{K} \equiv \{1, \dots, 2^j\}$ . If  $H_0$  is not rejected, set  $\hat{m} = 0$ ;

**Step 2** If  $H_0 : m_0 = 0$  is rejected in Step 1, conduct a test for  $H_0 : m_0 = 1$  (one jump) against  $H_1 : m_0 > 1$  (at least two jumps). Reject  $H_0$  if

$$|D_{j,\mathbf{K} \setminus \hat{\mathbf{K}}_1}| > C_\gamma,$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}}_1 \equiv \left\{ k : \hat{k}_1 - k \in \text{supp}(\psi) \right\}$$

with  $\hat{k}_1 \equiv \arg \sup_{k \in \mathbf{K}} |W_{j,k}^{\mathbf{Y}}|$ . If  $H_0$  is not rejected, set  $\hat{m} = 1$ ;

## Estimating Number of Jumps and Locations (2)

Step 3 If  $H_0 : m_0 = 1$  is rejected, conduct a test for  $H_0 : m_0 = 2$  (two jumps) against  $H_1 : m_0 > 2$  (at least three jumps). Reject  $H_0$  if

$$\left| D_{j, \mathbf{K} \setminus (\hat{\mathbf{K}}_1 \cup \hat{\mathbf{K}}_2)} \right| > C_\gamma,$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}}_2 \equiv \left\{ k : \hat{k}_2 - k \in \text{supp}(\psi) \right\}$$

with  $\hat{k}_2 \equiv \arg \sup_{k \in \mathbf{K} \setminus \hat{\mathbf{K}}_1} \left| \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{Y}} \right|$ . If  $H_0$  is not rejected, set  $\hat{m} = 2$ ;

Step 4 Repeat the step until  $H_0$  is not rejected, so that  $\hat{m}$  satisfies

$$\left| D_{j, \mathbf{K} \setminus \bigcup_{l=1}^{\hat{m}} \hat{\mathbf{K}}_l} \right| \leq C_\gamma,$$

where

$$\hat{\mathbf{K}}_l \equiv \left\{ k : \hat{k}_l - k \in \text{supp}(\psi) \right\}$$

with  $\hat{k}_l \equiv \arg \sup_{k \in \mathbf{K} \setminus \bigcup_{l'=1}^{l-1} \hat{\mathbf{K}}_{l'}} \left| \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{Y}} \right|$  with  $l = 1, \dots, \hat{m}$ .

# Estimating Number of Jumps and Locations (3)

Both estimated number of jumps and locations are consistent.  
Hence we have

$$\Pr(\hat{m} = m_0) \rightarrow 1,$$
$$\sum_{l=1}^{\hat{m}_0} (\hat{\lambda}_l - \lambda_l)^2 = O_p(2^{-2j}),$$

where

$$\hat{\lambda}_l \equiv \frac{\hat{k}_l}{2^j}.$$

# Feasible Test Statistic

Recall

$$D_{j,\mathbf{K}} \equiv \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{j,\mathbf{K}}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{j,\mathbf{K}}^2}{\sqrt{\omega}}$$

and

$$\omega \equiv \text{Var} \left( \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{2^j} \left( \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{B}_H} \right)^2}{2^j} - \left[ \text{med}_{k \in \{1, \dots, 2^j\}} \left| \frac{\mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{B}_H}}{0.6745} \right| \right]^2 \right)$$

- Estimator  $\hat{\omega}$  :

- Rewrite the estimation errors of  $\hat{\sigma}_{j,\mathbf{K}}^2$  and  $\tilde{\sigma}_{j,\mathbf{K}}^2$  in terms of sample average
- Truncate the  $100 \times (1 - \epsilon)$  percent of the largest  $\left| \mathbb{W}_{j,k}^{\mathbf{Y}} \right|$  to construct the truncated version of sample averages
- Apply Andrews (1991)'s long-run covariance estimation

- $\hat{D}_{j,\mathbf{K}} \equiv \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{j,\mathbf{K}}^2 - \tilde{\sigma}_{j,\mathbf{K}}^2}{\sqrt{\hat{\omega}}}$

# Simulation Study: See Paper

# Daily Dow-Jones Industrial Average: See Paper